

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/519,527	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ruth A. Davis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1651	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ruth A. Davis.

(2) Daniel Nesbitt.

**Date of Interview:** 11 September 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1130 AM DST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated the claims allowable over the prior art and requested the amendments reflected in the examiner amendment, Mr. Nesbitt agreed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ruth A. Davis/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)